Ergonomic Assessments of Laboratory Working with Seating and Standing Postures

Bhuvenesh Rajamony

School of Manufacturing Engineering University Malaysia Perlisline UniMAP / 01000, Kangar, Perlis, Malaysia bhuvenesh@unimap.edu.my

Khairul Azwan Ismail School of Manufacturing Engineering University Malaysia Perlisline UniMAP 01000, Kangar, Perlis, Malaysia k.azwan@unimap.edu.my

Zuraidah Mohd Zain School of Manufacturing Engineering University Malaysia Perlisline UniMAP 01000, Kangar, Perlis, Malaysia zuraidah@unimap.edu.my

Abstract— Ergonomics is important to ensure that the worker can work in the safe condition whether they work in standing, sitting, walking and so on. The use of ergonomics study is to improve the workers safety and health, increase job satisfaction and enhances performance. Lack of ergonomics criteria in workspace and workstation design can cause physical and biological hazards to the workers. Ergonomics evaluations in industrial setting have recently received increased attention due to the cost incurred as a result of repetitive motion injuries. When we are working, the most common position involved is whether standing or seating. Working in seating position is widely available in the office and assembly line at the manufacturing floor. Because of some particular reason, working in standing position also widely used in manufacturing floor. Many industrial companies today have changed their plant layout to fit with standing operator. This paper reports on the impact of the types of handling the work station design, have specific ergonomic risk factors identified in these tasks. The field of study is focused on ergonomic problems encountered for every machine and workstation at the Engineering School associated with the laboratory practical's in these labs.

Keywords- ergonomics, workstation, manufacturing, practicals

I. INTRODUCTION

Ergonomics and Anthropometric Data

The primary use of anthropometric data is for fit and reach, but there are other uses too. People come in a variety of sizes and shapes. A few principles apply to the use of anthropometric data in design, although each principle may not work for every situation. One of the applications is to design so things are adjustable for different users. Office

furniture manufacturers today provide many adjustable features in chairs, work surface heights and positioning the keyboards and monitors. Besides that, adjustments are beginning to appear in seating and workstation equipment in factories and shops. For example barber chair has adjustments in height, tilt and rotation for years, adjustable height at pallet platform that allow the user to adjust pallet height as the pallet is filled or emptied and many more. Because not everything can be designed for adjustment, the use of anthropometric data is used to design for the 95th percentile male to fit and the 5th percentile female to reach. The goal is to allow most people to fit within the dimensions. Designing a doorway, seating in airplanes or headroom in an automobile requires only one solution. If a person must reach a control or a part, the distance from the person to the object should not be longer than a short persons reach. If data on a particular population are available, a design can be fitted to them.

II. OBJECTIVES OF STUDY

The general objective of this study is to know the workspace design for standing and seated workers in Engineering Campus of University Malaysia Perlis. The specific objectives of this study is to know what is the problems that occur in the workspace in the laboratory and workshop in the engineering school and what action can be taken to improve the working environment and equipment uses. Beside that we want to find out whether the workplace is satisfied with the good ergonomics aspect of a workplace and what aspect should be given more attention to prevent an accident at the workplace.

III. GENERAL PRINCIPLES

Ergonomics Ergonomics principles can be described as interaction between human- machine systems. It consists of three main components that interact with each other. These components are human components, machine components and the environment. In ergonomics criteria the interaction between human bodies to its surround should be optimized. space requirements should be (anthropometry data) and internal, external forces that exerted on the body are not harmful. Human components to the worksystem can be divided in three segments, which are the effectors, the senses and supportive processes. Human interaction with machines depends on the provision of suitable controls which can be acted on by the effectors. Workspace is the three- dimensional space in which work is carried out. Workspaces usually fixed which introduces design issues such as the need to determine the workspace dimensions. This requires the dimension of the machine, anthropometry, and activities required by both man and machine to carry the task to be considered. Besides that there are many other considerations in designing the workspace such as the choice and layout of furniture. Refer Table. I.

Table I. Recommended dimensions for work surface heights.

Human Factors IS: 265- 268 (1973)

Type task	Recommended Height (cm.)		
	Male	Female	
Standing			
Precision work, elbows supported	109- 120	103- 112.6	
Light assembly work	99.06- 109.22	87.63- 97.79	
Heavy work	85.09- 100.33	78.74- 93.98	
Seated			
Fine work or assembly	99.06- 105.41	88.9- 95.25	
Precision work (mechanical assembly)	88.9- 93.98	92.55- 87.63	
Writing or light assembly	73.66- 78.44	69.85- 74.93	
Coarse or medium work	68.58- 72.39	66.04- 69.85	

IV. Ergonomics Of Sitting Workers

The chair is the main instrument for working in sitting position. In the past chair has been expected to provide both sitting and working comfort for employees who work at fixed height surfaces. With the increased use of computers at home and the office, there is a new trend of computer/ office workrelated complaints. Most of the complaints were induced by poor work habits, poor workstation design, highly repetitive keyboarding and extensive use of the Recommendation for work with keyboard is the work surface often 3cm to 6 cm lower than a writing work surface to allow for the thickness of the keyboard. In addition, space must be provided for the sitter's leg. The researchers have suggested that a chair should be designed with forward tilted seats. These chairs should permit a user to sit with an erect trunk and less posterior pelvic tilting and flattening of the lumbar curve because the tilt of the seat increases the trunk- thigh angle. Some key features about a good chair and workspace design for visual display terminal (VDT) users has been pointed below .The Recommended Dimensions for Office Chairs are given in Table II.

Table II. Recommended Dimensions for Office Chairs from ANSI/ HFS Human Factors Engineering of Visual Display Terminal Workstations

	• •
Seat	
Height from floor	40.64- 52.07 cm.
Width (breadth)	44.96 cm.
Length (depth)	38.1- 43.18 cm.
Pan angle	0- 10° or adjustable to this range
Seat back-to-pan included angle	90- 105°, adjustment preferred.
Backrest	
Height	Variable with task and back angle
Width	At least 31.75 cm in the lumbar
Armrest	Inside distances At least 43.68 cm.

V. Ergonomics Of Standing Workers

Proper Standing

As a rule of thumb, it is often suggested that all objects which are to be used by standing workers should be placed between hip and shoulder height to minimize postural stress caused by stooping or working with the hands and arm elevated. Work surfaces height should approximate the standing elbow height of workers, depending on the task[3].

Some workspace design faults, which increase postural stress in standing worker, can be summarized as follows:

- Working with the hand to height and/or too far away
 compensatory lumbar lordosis.
- Working surfaces too low trunk flexion and back muscle strain.
- Constrained foot position due to lack of clearance worker standing too far away.
- Working at the corner of the bench constrained foot position, toes turned out too much.
- Standing with the twisted spine (having to work at the side rather than directly ahead).

Postural constraint in standing workers can be relieved by providing stools to enable workers to rest during quiet periods or to alternate between sitting and standing. Adequate space for the feet should be provided to permit workers to change the position of their feet at will.

WORKPLACE LAYOUT FOR STANDING WORKERS

The critical features of the work-surface height for standing workers are in part the same as for seated workers, i.e., elbow height and the type of work being performed. For light and heavy work the recommended working height are below elbow height, whereas that for precision work is

slightly above. In the standing position, it is actually energy efficient for human to adopt. Standing is the position of choice for many tasks in industries but it can lead to discomfort if insufficient rest is provided or unnecessary postural load is placed on the body. Prolonged daily standing is associated with low back pain. Where possible, jobs which require people to stand still for prolonged periods without some external form of aid or support must be redesigned to allow more movement to allow the work to be done in a combination of standing and sitting postures. It is often suggested that all objects which are used by standing workers should be placed between hip and shoulder height to minimized postural stress cause by stooping or working with the hands and arms elevated. Work surface height should approximate the standing elbow height of workers, depending on the task. For the fine work, a higher work surface is appropriate to reduce the distance of the eye and allow the workers to stabilize their forearms by resting them at the work surface. For heavy work, the lower work surface is needed to permit the worker to apply great vertical forces by transmitting part of the body weight through the arms. There are some workspace design faults which increase postural stress in standing workers. The purpose of the provision is for workstations, jobs and work environment condition to be designed and arranged in such way that risk of physical load both static and dynamic which are dangerous to health or unnecessarily fatiguing or stressful are averted. Employer duties include among other things are responsibility to ensure that work which is physically monotonous, repetitive, close control or restricted does not normally occur.

VI. OBSERVATION AND ANALYSIS OF DATA FOR SITTING WORKERS

This ergonomics study was started with the construction of a set of checklist, which was used during the observation made in the Manufacturing Engineering School. The main scopes of the questions in the checklist are like *general review*, symptoms of ergonomics problems, workplace characteristic, perceptual load, mental load, environment, specific equipment, physical demands, work method and others. All the workplace or workstation studied in the school then divided to either standing or sitting.

TABLE III Number of problems for every workstation and its percentage

Workstation/ equipment	Problems	%
Computer (Cad/Cam lab)	5	6
The Stressmaster Polariscope	7	8
Microscope	10	12
Gas Welding	17	20
Polymer Laboratory	14	17
Latex Laboratory	8	10




Fig.1 Percentages of the problem identified in each workstation

From the table III and Fig.1 above, we have identified that gas welding has the highest problems with 17 % from questions are not satisfied the need for good ergonomic workspace and criteria. This follows by other laboratory, Microscope, Machine workshop. The Computer laboratory with CAD/CAM equipments have the lowest problem identified with only 4 % from the questions.

Computer Laboratory (CAD/CAM)

The computer in the CAD/CAM lab is used for many applications such as designing an object, simulation and programming with the used of software likes IDEAS, WITNESS, MATLAB, AUTOCAD, ANSYS, KATIA and many others. Student also can use the Internet to collect information relating to their coursework. All the computers are placed in several rows on a long table.

Table IV. Number of problem and satisfied condition identified

A. Question Segment	Satisfied	Percentage	Problems	Percentages
General review	7	78 %	2	22 %
2. Symptoms	14	100 %	0	0 %
3. Workplace	14	82 %	3	18 %
4.Perceptual load	14	100 %	0	0 %
Mental load	4	100 %	0	0 %
6. Environment	18	100 %	0	0 %
7. Specific equipment	11	100 %	0	0 %
8. Physical demands	13	100 %	0	0 %
9. Work methods	13	100 %	0	0 %
10. Others	6	86 %	1	14 %




Fig.2. Percentages of problem and satisfied in each segment

We can see from the table IV and Fig.2. There are problem in *general review, workplace characteristic*, and *others* segment. From the questions, there is 6 problems encounter, which is 5 %. There problem in *general reviews* are like no document annual review and no documented of ergonomics related finding and correctives action. The problems in *workplace characteristic* are likes there is poor chair which difficult to adjust and no adjustability is built in the workplace. *Others* problem is lack of motivation among the users. Fig.3 show a student is using a computer in this CAD/CAM laboratory.



Fig.3.

Computer work

From ten main segments in the question, only *Symptoms of ergonomics problems*, *mental load*, *environment* and *others* segment don't have any problem. Refer Fig.4




Fig.4 Percentages of problem and satisfied in each segment

There are problems like no documented annual review, no documented of ergonomics related to finding and correctives action and no employee's ergonomics-related concern evaluation. There is 5 problems occur in the *workplace characteristic*, 2 problems in *perceptual load* and one each in *physical demand* and *work method*. Fig. 5 show microscope is being used in the lab.



Fig.5. Microscope work

Oxyfuel gas welding (OFW) is a general term used to describe any welding process that is uses a fuel gas combined with oxygen to produce a flame. This flame is the sources of heat that is used to melt the metal at the joint [9]. In the Manufacturing School workshop, this type of welding is used for structural sheet-metal fabrication and various other repairs. Table V and Fig.6 show the number of problem and satisfied condition identified for each segment and their percentages. Only *mental load* and *others* segment are satisfied with the questions that being asked.

Table V. Number of problem and satisfied condition identified for each.

B. Question Segment	Satisfied	Percentage	Problems	Percentages
General				
review	6	67 %	3	22 %
2. Symptoms				
of	12	86 %	2	14 %
ergonomics	12	80 %	2	14 %
problem				
Workplace				
characteristics	14	82 %	3	18 %
4.Perceptual				
load	12	86 %	2	14 %
Mental				
load	4	100 %	0	0 %
6.				
Environment	13	72 %	5	28 %
Specific				
equipment	10	91 %	1	9 %
Physical den	11	85 %	2	15 %
9. Work				
methods	11	85 %	2	15 %
10. Others	7	100 %	0	0 %




Fig.6. Percentages of problem and satisfied in each segment

The *environment* segment shows the highest number of problems with 5 problems, which is equal to 28 %. This is because the uncomfortable temperatures that exist in that area of workspace. The *specific equipment* segment only contains one problem, which is the lowest problem score. Fig.7 shows the layout at the gas welding area with a student is perform the welding task.



Fig.7 Gas welding work

VII.OBSERVATION AND ANALYSIS OF DATA FOR STANDING WORKERS

General

For the standing working position, five in Manufacturing Engineering School was selected for observation. We have analyzed the grinding machine, arc welding, milling machine, lathe machine and Rockwell Harness Tester. From the ergonomic questions asked for each workstation, the number and percentage of problems or unsatisfied were summarized. Fig.8 shows the difference between each of the workstation.

From the table above, the highest problem occur in the grinding machine with 36 problems, followed by Spiral machine with 19 problems, arc welding with 15 problems, Ball and Roll Mill machine with 14 problems, milling machine and extruder machine with each 10 problem, Lathe machine with 8 problems and finally Rockwell Hardness tester with 6 problems.




Fig.8. Percentages of the problem identified in each workstation

The problems that can be identified for this grinding machine from the *general* review are like no documented annual review, no evaluation about employee ergonomics- related concern and no document related to finding and corrective actions. There are 5 problems in *workplace characteristic* segment which are like awkward postures are required, no adjustability made into the workplace, the work surface appear to be too high or too low, worker are required to hold their arm without the assistances of armrests and the workers engaged to static holding work. Other problems are the task is repetitive and monotonous, critical task element exist where error are not tolerated and many others. Figure.9. show a student using the grinding machine.

There are several types of arc welding used in the industry nowadays. *Shielded metal-arc welding* is one of the oldest, simplest and most versatile joining processes. About 50% of all industrial and maintenance welding is currently performed by this process [9]. Mechanical Engineering workshop also used this kind of welding to do repairing work and are teaches to the student. All the problems and satisfied data from the checklist for this arc welding have been summarized in Fig.10.



Fig.9 working with grinding machine




Fig. 10. Percentages of problem and satisfied in each segment

There are three segments that don't have any problem, which are *mental load*, *physical demand* and *others*. The *environment* segment has 5 problems, likes there are direct of reflected glare sources in work area, the thermal environment is uncomfortable, the user exposed to unacceptable thermal or visual environment change, light shine on moving element to produces distracting flashes and the room contains toxic, flammable and explosive substances. There is one problem each for segment, Symptoms of ergonomics problem, workplace characteristic, specific equipment and work method, high material waste, no adjustable built into the workplace, hand tools present injury potential and visual control of manual movement is necessary. The workplace of arc welding is shown in Fig.11.



Fig.11 Arc Welding

Milling Machine

Milling machines are among the most versatile and useful machine tools because they are capable of performing a variety of cutting operations. Milling machine is a rotating cutter that removes material while traveling around various axes with respect to the work pieces. It also can be used for

other process such as planning, shaping and broaching, in which the tool or work piece travel along a straight path, producing flat and shaped surfaces. There are some basic types of milling operations such as slab milling, face milling and end milling. The milling machine use in the workshop is from *Column-and-Knee* types machines that used vertical spindle, which is from face milling type. All the data from the checklist has been summarized into their percentages is illustrated in Figure.12.




Fig.12.Percentages of problem and satisfied in each segment

Lathe Machine

Lathe machine is typically used to produce part in a round shape. It can produce many kinds of parts as small as miniature screws for eyeglass- frame hinges and as large as rolls for rolling mills, cylinders, gun barrels and turbine shaft for hydroelectric power plants. The processes that can be done by lathe machine are like turning, boring, parting, threading, and knurling. The data that collected from the checklist is summarized and illustrated in Figure 13. There are total of 8 problems identified, which is 7% from all questions.




Fig.13.Percentages of problem and satisfied in each segment

Only four from ten segments have problems in this machine workspace. In *general review*, the initial and annual review is not documented, no evaluation of employee's ergonomics-related concern and no documentation for ergonomics related finding and corrective action. The process dust settles on equipment and displays, impairing visual performance that occurs in environment segment. There are 3 problems in specific equipment and one in physical demands. Figure 14 show a student using the lathe machine in the workshop.



Fig.14. Working on the Lathe Machine

VIII. DISCUSSION AND RECOMMENDATION

In this work we have made the data analysis for standing and seated workers from the checklist for manufacturing engineering school. The Ergonomic Exposure assessments of workplace layout and working postures of sitting and standing workers will generate to improve the weakness in those ergonomics aspects. The result from this study showed that there was a reasonably good agreement between users' ratings of comfort and the ergonomists' observations.

Computer Laboratory (CAD/CAM)

In the computer laboratory, besides there is no documentation about the ergonomics aspect, the main problem that can be identified is about the workplace characteristic. There is no adjustability built in the workplace, which is at the computer table beside the poor chair that is difficult to adjust with inadequate back support and no footrest. The numbers of chair in the laboratory is too much and cause the movement of the user difficult. The existed adjustability chair is hard to adjust for the comfortable of men and women users because the adjusting device has become rusty and the joint is slip. The chairs also don't have arm support to support the user arm when there are using the keyboard. There is also less space between one computer to another computer, provide no space for the user to write or place their equipment that need to be used while using the computer. The arrangement of the computer is too close to each other and makes the space of one computer full when the computer needs to be share with two or three person.

Welding

There are two types of welding in the workshop, which is gas welding and arc welding. The first problem identified for both types of welding is there is no document concerning about the ergonomics aspect. There is high material waste but this is not to be considering as big problem because it is used for the purpose of student learning. For arc welding there is no adjustability built at the workplace. The work piece tables cannot be adjusted to suit the user height. This causes the user bend their trunk to do the welding. For gas welding, the chair height cannot be adjusted and user needs to hold their arm without assistant of armrest. The environment uncomfortable because of the heat produce by the flames of the welding process and contained toxic substance. Improvement that can be suggested for arc welding is to built the workplace table so that it can be adjusted and for gas welding, the chair need to be replaced to adjustable chair with footrest. The uncomfortable environment can be reduce by open more space of the room to outside so that the air can flow freely and bring the heat outside.

FGrinding machine

 $_{\rm F}^{\rm i}$ The problems at the grinding machines are small and mainly difficult to see defect with eye movement. The critical displays are not within $\pm~15^{\rm o}$ of normal line of sight. The

environment is also not comfortable with excessive noise and vibration that is annoying, and the thermal environment is uncomfortable. There is also sharp edge in the work area and the hand tools may cause injuries if not used correctly. There is constant handling of material and the task required constant standing. Action that could be taken at this workplace is to do maintenance and checking of the machine to reduce the vibration and noise that happen. The user also needs to wear gloves, earplugs and eye protector to avoid injuries. For the uncomfortable thermal environment, the number of fan in the workshop needs to be increased.

Milling and Lathe machine

Similar problem occurs at these two machines because of the similar activities involved. There is no ergonomics documentation for both machines. The operation of these machines required the user to stand constant to watch the machining process. For lathe machine the process dust settles on the equipment and displays, impairing visual performance. Trip hazard also exist at the workspace because of the wire is not fully grounded. For milling machine the manual maintenance are not up to date. Action that can be taken for improvement of both machines is to ground the wire and provide a dust cleaner to clean. The user also needs to wear protection equipment likes glove and eyeglasses to prevent injuries.

Conclusion

From the observation and analysis of the data, the most similar problem in all workspace that need to be considered about the documentation of the ergonomics aspect and concern. The workspace design and the ergonomics aspects are important not only for efficiency of the work but also in economics aspect for reducing the cost. A well-designed workspace must be safe, efficient, satisfying to use, pleasant, durable, and users to interact safely. This study can create and maintain ergonomics awareness with all staff and student. An awareness program should be held to prevent new problems. For the future, we need to investigate the existing ergonomics problem and try to resolve them appropriately.

References

- Roger L. Brauer, Safety and Health for Engineers, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1990.
- [2] Elsie Tai, OSHA Compliance Management: A Guide for Long-Term Health Care Facilities, Lewis Publisher, New York, 2001.
- [3] Charles D. Reese, Occupational Health and Safety Management: A Practical Approach, Lewis Publishers, New York, 2000.
- [4] Frank E. McElroy, Accident Prevention Manual for Industrial Operations: Engineering and Technology, 8th Edition, National Safety Council, Chicago, 1980.
- [5] R. S. Bridger, Introduction to Ergonomics, International Editions, McGraw Hill Inc. Singapore, 1995.
- [6] Mark S. Sanders and Ernest J. McCormick, Human Factors In Engineering and Design, Sixth Edition, McGraw-Hill Book Company, 2005.
- [7] David C. Alexander, Babur Mustafa Pulat, Industrial Ergonomics: Practitioners Guide, Ins. Of Industrial Engineers, New York, 1992.

- [8] I. D. Brown, R. Goldsmith, K. Coombes, M. A. Sinclair, International Ergonomics 85, Taylor & Francis, London, 1985.
- [9] Serope Kalpakjian and Steven R, Manufacturing Engineering and Technology, International Edition, by. Schmid, Prentice Hall International, Fourth Edition, 2004.
- [10] D. Beevis and I. M. Slade, Ergonomics—costs and benefits, Applied Ergonomics, Volume 34, Issue 5, September 2003, Pages 413-418.
- [11] http://www.umich.edu/~hraa/ergonomics/index.htm
- [12] http://www.ergotron.com/2_ergotron/ergonomics/default.asp
- [13] http://www.ergonomics.org/